





# Solution Strategies for the Dynamic Warehousing Location under Discrete Transportation Costs

#### **Braulio Brunaud and Ignacio Grossmann**

Carnegie Mellon University

#### Yisu Nie, Matt Bassett and John Wassick

The Dow Chemical Company

EWO Meeting, Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, September 2016

### **Problem Description**



- t: time periods (months) p: products
- Decide the number, size, location and contracting length for warehouses
- Dynamic decision of opening/closing warehouses at every period
- Plan the inventory allocation
- Multiple transportation modes, with discrete costs
- 5 year planning horizon
- Seasonal Demand

#### **Discrete Transportation Costs**



**Integer Units** 

$$\sum_{p} x_{jkpt} \le \sum_{m} TCap_{m} u_{jkmt}$$

 $u_{jkmt}$  integer

### **Dynamic Contracting Policies**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Not Allowed												
Allowed												

Ex: min wait = 3, min length = 3

1.  $y_t^s$ : 1 if a contract is started in period t

$$-y_t + y_{t-1} + y_t^s \ge 0$$

2.  $y_t^f$ : 1 if a contract is finished in period t

$$-y_t + y_{t+1} + y_t^f \ge 0$$

Minimum wait

$$\sum_{\tau=t+1}^{t+W} y_\tau + W y_t^f \le W$$

If a contract is finished in period t the warehouse can not be used in the next W periods

#### Minimum length

$$\sum_{\tau=t}^{t+L-1} y_{\tau} \ge L y_t^s$$

If a contract is started in period t the warehouse must be used in the next L periods

#### Flow Cost Structure

• Inbound and outbound unit cost with penalty for high volume



#### 4. Safety Stock with Risk Pooling effect

Safety Stock

• safety stock =  $z \sigma \sqrt{L} \approx ss = \beta x$ 

**Risk Pooling** 

• Stock out risk decreases with number of customers served



#### **Effect of Transportation Costs**

Continous Costs



**Discrete Costs** 

It is important to consider discrete transportation costs

#### **Tightening Constraints**

1. Plants must supply at least enough to meet demand

$$\sum_{i \in I_p} \sum_j x_{ijpt} \ge \sum_k D_{kpt} - \sum_j (s_{jpt} + ss_{jpt}) \qquad \forall p, t$$

2. It is not possible to ship to/from a closed warehouse

$$\sum_{i} \sum_{p} x_{ijpt} \le UB \ y_{jt} \qquad \forall j, t$$

- 3. No transportation units are used in a lane with a closed warehouse  $u_{jktm} \le UB \ y_{jt} \qquad \forall j, k, t, m$
- 4. Transportation units for a defined MOT are at most the exclusive mode number of units

$$u_{ijrm} \le \frac{1}{TCap_m} \sum_{p \in P_i} x_{ijpt} + 1$$

15% time reduction

∀i,j,m

### **Model Reformulations**

Motivation:

- 1. Network design is driven by warehouse-customer transportation costs
- 2. Customers value consistency in their service
- 3. Reduce model complexity

#### **Results:**

	Original	JKP	ЈК		
CPU (s)	364	60	26		
<b>Objective Value</b>	\$ 3.29 M	\$ 3,32 M	\$ 3,35 M		
Objective var	-	+ 0.7%	+ 1.5%		

- JKP : A customer receives **each product** from a specific warehouse
- JK : A customer receives **all products** from a exclusive warehouse

15 Plants, 15 Warehouses, 10 Customers, 10 Products, 12 Months, 16 Modes of Transportation

### Multistage heuristics

SP: All products aggregated as one ٠

2-Stage

- **SM**: Single transportation mode using the average cost per lane ٠
- **RM**: Relaxed integrality on transportation units ۰
- F#: Full model with warehouses and/or lanes fixed ٠





#### **Heuristics Results**



### Future Challenge

FSP

#### Benders





The heuristics can be upgraded to rigorous decomposition algorithms if valid cuts are obtained from mixed-integer subproblems

## Long-Term Goal







#### Decomposition can mimic the way companies make decisions